## Don't Put All your Eggs in One Basket:

Montado silvo-pastoral system as a case study of resilience



Elvira Sales-Baptista, Maria Isabel Feraz-de-Oliveira, José Muñoz-Rojas









MONTADO in Portugal / DEHESA in Spain

Main understory use: native and sown pastures for grazing animals

Supports high levels of biodiversity

Human shaped ecosystem – high habitat heterogeneity

Traditionally, low intensity management

#### ACKNOWLEDGED AS A HIGH NATURE AND SOCIAL VALUE FARMING SYSTEM



#### MONTADO

References since the 12th century - dominant system in the south of Portugal

Evolved from the mediterranean "maquis"

With the same components and complementarities as today



Highly multifunctional and strongly anchored in the regional identity

From the Book of praiers of D Manuel (1517). Source: Dagoberto Markl (1983)

## Multiple use and low intensity management

**Diversity of products & income sources** 



## Multiple use and low intensity management

**Diversity of products & income sources** 



### Historical crises & major threats



### **Recent crises & major threats**

#### **Comulative and sinergic factors**



### Trends

## Suckler cows' population

#### In the last 20 years increased 50%

70 % of Suckler cows' population is located in Alentejo



Análise setorial Carne de Bovino\_GPP (2020)

## Trends Evolution of permanent pastures (1989-2016)

### Relative variation (%)

### Absolute variation (ha)



Fonte: INE

#### Trends The system is in "silent" decay

Agroforest Syst (2016) 90:177-192 DOI 10.1007/s10457-014-9757-7



>50% Dense Montado



B 10-20% Clear Montado







Assessment of environment, land management, and spatial variables on recent changes in montado land cover in southern Portugal

Sérgio Godinho · Nuno Guiomar · Rui Machado · Pedro Santos · Paulo Sá-Sousa · J. P. Fernandes · Nuno Neves · Teresa Pinto-Correia

#### **Reduction in area and tree density**

1990 - 2006 ~90.000 ha lost Reduction > 5500 ha/year

#### Fragmentation

	1910	1960	1990	2006
Total area of montado (km <sup>2</sup> )	3152.95	4030.35	3544.15	3466.77
Rela <u>tive area (% Central Alenteio)</u>	43.60	55.81	49.16	47.68
Number of patches	116	208	248	306
Mean patch size (km <sup>2</sup> )	27.18	19.38	14.29	11.33
Variance (%)	5.54	4.41	3.71	3.35
Maximum patch size (km <sup>2</sup> )	1838.86	2496.06	2019.46	1987.46
Minimum patch size (hectares)	0.33	0.93	0.41	0.27

# Montado **multifunctionality** as been a unifying principle that brought the productive and non-productive functions into harmony.

The montado has been **resilient** (in the context of ecosystem stability) to dynamic changes over time



Wilson, G. (2010). Multifunctional 'quality' and rural community resilience. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 35(3), 364-381.

## **2 different research projects** focusing on grazing livestock production in Alentejo Central:



Portugal Municipality of Montemor-o-Novo Municipality of Évora

InAlentejo, 2013-2016 assessed the impact of grazing management options on biodiversity through field surveys (bats, reptiles, birds, macrofungi, trees regeneration, botanical composition) (17 farms; 29 plots x 2 grazing intensities)

SUFISA, 2015-2019: surveys, expert interviews, focus groups and participatory workshops to disentangle the financial sustainability of diverse options at the farm system level (n=150)

## Results

- 145 macrofungal species
- 269 plant species
- 12 reptile species
- 63 bird species

No overall biodiversity variation associated to different grazing intensities was found.

However, species groups responded differently to high and low grazing intensity:

Macrofungi were negatively related to high-intensity grazing

Birds were positively related with high-intensity grazing

ruer

Lower tree regeneration related to high-intensity grazing



Producers were **simultaneously owners and managers** (60.4%) with tenants representing only 2.8%



**Producers age** was balanced with 48.9% of respondents younger than 50 years old

A clear male gender bias (87.5%) existed.



The overall **level of education** was high (43.8% held a University degree) and most of the participants had specific training in agriculture (79.2%).



None expect to sell the farm.

# High diversity of livestock production models:

• Different holding areas

- Different herd sizes
- Different grazing species or combinations
  - Different commercialization models

Different grazing management

srvey to 170 farmers

Different product certification

#### High standards of resilience

# Apparent weaknesses of the economic and social capital :

 High dependency of farmers on public funds Table 10: CAP funding received by Montado beef producers surveyed (representing the percentage of beef producers amongst the total number surveyed)

truey to 170 farmers

Direct payments	100 %
Other Pillar I Payments	33 %
Agro-Environmental Schemes (AES)	67 %
Others	12.5 %
l do not Know	23 %

 Low cooperation levels among farmers

Only 23% of farmers belonged to a production organization

## Conclusions

- No overall biodiversity variation because of different management practices was found.
- However, different groups of species react differently to specific management practices, namely grazing intensity.
- In low grazing intensity plots, macrofungi species richness was found to be higher, while bird species richness was lower.
- Using tree regeneration as proxy for montado sustainability, results show less tree regeneration in areas with higher forage quality and more intense grazing.

## Conclusions

- The Montado continuity has been rooted in the long lasting land-family relationship
- An identity crisis in the farming community is also a widely unrecognized problem of the montado decay

The current governance practices are unable to preserve the multifunctionality of the montado, and thus its resilience.

## Thank you!

#### mifo@uevora.pt imrojas@uevora.pt elsaba@uevora.pt

www.med.uevora.pt

Variat 65



