

# Prioritizing Woodland Expansion with ECOFOREST: A Web-Based Tool for Ecosystem Service-Based Spatial Targeting

Alessandro Gimona<sup>1</sup> Marie Castellazzi<sup>1</sup>, Bethany Wilkins<sup>1</sup>, Faye Jackson<sup>2</sup>, Iain Malcolm<sup>2</sup>, Scott Newey<sup>1</sup>, Zisis Gagkas<sup>1</sup>, Brian McCredie<sup>3</sup>, Roberto Martinez<sup>3</sup>, Rob Brooker<sup>1</sup>, Robin Pakeman<sup>1</sup>



### **Main Features**

- The James Hutton Institute
- Interactive web-based tools that aid in the decisions on where to plant woodlands in the landscape (ECOFOREST) or only riparian woodlands (RIVERTOOL)
- Based on several input maps of benefits/ecosystem services
- Grid cells are scored 0-1 based on models for suitability to enhance certain benefits (e.g. alleviation of diffuse pollution, enhancement of connectivity.. Etc..)
- Mapped criteria given different –or equal importance and combined into a final priority map
   Mapping area
   The structure at the works of the project these reference area to be set the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project the project these reference area to be set of the set of the project the









### Why interactive tools?



### Participatory Spatial Multicriteria Analysis

Decision support method that **integrates** Geographic Information Systems (GIS) with Multicriteria

Spatial representation *and integration* of multiple criteria, e.g. referring to pollution, biodiversity, climate mitigation.

**Participatory** It actively involves stakeholders in the decision-making process, ensuring that diverse perspectives are considered and valued



### **Beyond Monetary Values in Decision Making**





Home About Donors Work programme News Calendar Documents Resources

Search content

EN 🔻

Log in

#### ←

#### Multi-criteria analysis

Multi-criteria analysis, sometimes called multi-criteria decision analysis, is a general framework for supporting complex decision-making situations with multiple and often conflicting objectives that stakeholder groups or decision makers value differently. The basic idea of multi-criteria analysis is to evaluate the performance of alternative courses of action with respect to criteria capturing the key dimensions of the decision-maker preferences for option performance under each criterion. Multi-criteria decision analysis does not produce final 'optimal' outcomes, but rather is a decision-support and sounding board tool.

Multi-criteria decision analysis is typically used in participatory processes to facilitate dialogue between experts, stakeholders and decision-makers. It can facilitate value integration by combining and structuring diverse information including multiple criteria, different types of data, and diversity of actor perspectives and value judgements. It can be used as a value elicitation method to cover a broad range of values, including ecological and economic values, as well as social and cultural values. Its main output is a preference ordering of alternatives according to different value positions and worldviews.

Multi-criteria analysis methods are also suited for illustrating distributional impacts but not all of them can address incommensurable criteria such as rights and duties. However, some approaches such as social multi-criteria analysis can better accommodate incommensurable criteria that are difficult to trade-off against each other.

Home About Donors

News

Resources

Donors Work programme

Calendar Documents Log in Support Terms of Use User documentation

Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

Science and Policy for People and Nature Secretariat: mea-ipbes-secretariat@un.org Media and communication: media@ipbes.net IPBES Secretariat 10th floor Platz der Vereinten, Nationen 1 53113 Bonn (Germany)







### Flexibility in Criteria Consideration

From quantifiable metrics like land values to qualitative aspects like cultural and biological significance significance, aesthetic value, or social impact.



# **Enhancing Confidence in the Decision Process**

### The James Hutton Institute

### Transparency and dialogue foster confidence

Visualisation of criteria and outputs

Interactive inclusion/exclusion

Interactive change in their importance



### **Active Role of Citizens**



### **Stakeholder Inclusivity & values integrations**

Potential to promote the active involvement of various stakeholders in the decision-making process



## **Enhanced Dialogue**

### **Conflict Resolution**

Environmental decisions can often lead to conflicts among stakeholders with differing priorities. S-MCA provides a platform for dialogue, helping stakeholders understand different viewpoints and working towards co





# **Stages of Analysis in Each Tool**



The James Hutton Institute

# Criteria

### Diffuse Pollution

Nitrogen & Phosphorus





#### Mitigation of Climate effects

Carbon & Temperature (shading)



### Biodiversity Conservation

Woodland Connectivity

& Protection of Open-ground Species







#### 18 mapped criteria

### **Excluded** areas

Where **NOT** to plant trees

11 maps then combined into a single layer

Physical Restrictions (high altitude & too exposed)
Policy restrictions (Peatlands, Archeological areas ..)
Biodiversity Conservation (Waders in protected areas, SSIs )

Existing Woodland



### **Spatial Criteria**



#### 10 Positive and 6 negative

	List of criteria
	Make change
criteria	
	PositiveCarbonBudget
	DistanceFromBroadleaves
	OvergrazedGrassland
	ScotPinesConnectivityCorridors
	NutrientExport
	FluvialRisk
	LowPollinationAreas
	RiverShading
	SedimentExport
	BroadleavesConnectivityCorridors
	NegativeCarbonBudget
	ArableConservation
	GrassConservation
	PrimeLand
	HighConnectivityMoorland

Negative criteria





#### 12 Positive and 2 negative





Data from Faye Jackson and Iain Malcolm, Marine Scotland, see Jackson et al. 2021



## **RIVERTOOL: Planting for Diffuse Pollution**







# **ECOFOREST : Planting for Diffuse Pollution**







# **Set Importance Weights**







You can choose to download this map as a GeoTIFF before progressing to the next step.

### **Selecting Top Scoring Locations**

#### Mapping area

The best value is 1 (blue) and the worst 0 (red) for the project chosen Expansion considering these criteria and the weights selected



Mapping area

Best pixels under the threshold are in blue, the others are in red.











## **Organisations Interested in Using the Tools**







COVID-19 ABOUT NEWS PUBLICATIONS CONTACT SEARCH

Forestry Business Forestry Strategy Sustainable Forestry Support & Regulations Forests & the Environment Forests & People



Scottish Forestry is the Scottish Government agency responsible for forestry policy, support and regulations.

Q

SHOW ACCESSIBILITY TOOLBAR

Bioregioning HOME ABOUT -Tayside

HOME ABOUT - BIOREGIONING IN ACTION - OUR PROGRAMME - OUR PROJECTS - NEWS CONTACT Q





Reconnecting with natural systems

#### GALLOWAY AND SOUTHERN AYRSHIRE BIOSPHERE



"Bioregioning reframes the way we see the places where we live and work, helping us reconnect to and restore the ecological systems of which we are a part and upon which we

> all depend." Clare Cooper, co-initiator Bioregioning Tayside

### Limitations





- Data Quality: The effectiveness of interactive tools is inherently tied to the quality of the data they utilise.
- Data Availability: Not all relevant data may be readily available or accessible
- Mapping Constraints: Not every aspect of land use or environmental concerns can be mapped. Some nuances or intangible factors might be overlooked







- **Engagement:** Interactive tools can engage citizens, making complex land use topics more accessible and understandable
- **Visualization:** spatial tools provide a clearer picture of potential outcomes, helping citizens grasp the implications of various decisions
- Feedback Loop: Interactive platforms allow for real-time feedback, making it easier for citizens' voices to be heard and integrated into the decision-making pr

### **On-line Story maps**



ECOFORESThttps://bit.ly/3RAIOUqRIVERTOOLhttps://bit.ly/46QvwqT

### Description of methods & data; Tutorial

**ECOFOREST** 

and Further Information

2 December 2022

Alessandro Gimona, Marie Castellazzi, Bethany Wilkins, Andrea Baggio Compagnu

Spatial Multi-Criteria Analysis to prioritise planting at the landscape level Metadata





#### RIVERTOOL

(Riparian Vegetation Ecosystem Services-based Ranking Tool) Methods, Tutorial, Data

Alessandro Gimona, Marie Castellazzi, Bethany Wilkins, Andrea Baggio Compagnucci 3 April 2023

### Acknowledgements

The James Hutton Institute

We thank RESAS (Scottish Government) for financial support.

For constructive discussions we thank in particular the authors of the <u>Riverwood Evidence</u> <u>Review</u>, as well as Brian McCreadie (SEPA), the Scottish Freshwater Group, Linsey Mason-McLean (Scottish Forestry), Camilla Blasi Foglietti, Mike Thornton (Scottish Wildlife Trust)

Contact: alessandro.Gimona@hutton.ac.uk

Story maps

ECOFORESThttps://bit.ly/3RAIOUqRIVERTOOLhttps://bit.ly/46QvwqT